IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

GEORGIA-PACIFIC CONSUMER)	
PRODUCTS LP,)	
FORT JAMES CORPORATION, and)	
GEORGIA-PACIFIC LLC)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
) No: 1:11-cv-00483	
v.)	
) Judge Robert J. Jonke	r
NCR CORPORATION)	
INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO.,)	
and WEYERHAEUSER CO.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Georgia-Pacific's Notice of Subpoena Duces Tecum To

Non-Party Kimberly-Clark Corporation

TO: COUNSEL FOR ALL PARTIES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Georgia-Pacific has issued a subpoena to non-party Kimberly-Clark Corporation's custodian of records pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(a)(1)(A) to compel the custodians to produce documents on or before January 03, 2012 to O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C., 111 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1400, Milwaukee, WI 53202. A copy of that subpoena compelling the production of documents is attached.

Baird, J. Christopher JCBaird@perkinscoie.com Cermak, John F. jcermak@bakerlaw.com Chesler, Evan R. echesler@cravath.com Dozeman, Douglas A. ddozeman@wnj.com gfields@dickinsonwright.com Fields, Geoffrey A. Goldstein, Sandra C. sgoldstein@cravath.com Ha, Eric W. eha@sidley.com Inglin, Sonja A. singlin@bakerlaw.com Dean.Laing@wilaw.com Laing, Dean P. Larson, Linda R llarson@martenlaw.com Lavely, Vanessa A. vlavely@cravath.com MacCurdy, Meline Grace mmaccurdy@martenlaw.com

Marten, Bradley M McAtee, Darin P. McGaffey, Karen M. Meuti, Michael Dominic Nasab, Omid H. Parker, John Daniel Roach, Kathleen L. Schneider, Mark W. Sobota, Margaret R. Watson, Scott Michael Westerfield, Evan B.

Dated: December 16, 2011

bmarten@martenlaw.com
dmcatee@cravath.com
KMcGaffey@perkinscoie.com
mmeuti@bakerlaw.com
onasab@cravath.com
jparker@bakerlaw.com
kroach@sidley.com
MWSchneider@perkinscoie.com
msobota@sidley.com
swatson@wnj.com
evanwesterfield@sidley.com

GEORGIA-PACIFIC CONSUMER PRODUCTS, LP., FORT JAMES CORPORATION, and GEORGIA-PACIFIC LLC

BY:

/s/ Douglas M. Garrou

Joseph C. Kearfott Douglas M. Garrou George P. Sibley, III Hunton & Williams LLP 951 East Byrd St. Richmond, VA 23219 (804) 788-8200

Peter A. Smit, Bar No. P 27886 Varnum LLP Bridgewater Place, P.O. Box 352 Grand Rapids, MI 49501 (616) 336-6000

Jeffrey N. Martin Djordje Petkoski Hunton & Williams LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 955-1500

Kathy Robb Hunton & Williams LLP 200 Park Avenue, 52nd Floor New York, New York 10166-0005 (212) 309-1000 Jan M. Conlin Tara D. Falsani Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. 800 LaSalle Avenue 2800 LaSalle Plaza Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 349-8500 AO 88B (Rev. 06/09) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Wisconsin

Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP, et al.	
Plaintiff) v.) NCR Corporation, et al.) Defendant)	Civil Action No. 1-11-CV-00483-RJJ (If the action is pending in another district, state where: Western District of Michigan)
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENT OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PE	TS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS REMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION, via its registered ag Suite 200, Madison WI 53717	ent: C T Corporation System, 8040 Excelsior Drive,
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and per material: Please see Exhibit A (attached hereto and specifically produced. In lieu of production at the place listed belo attorney signing this subpoena at the address indicate	rmit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the incorporated by reference) for a list of documents to be w. copies of the documents may be mailed to the
Place: O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C.	Date and Time:
111 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1400 Milwaukee WI 53202	01/03/2012 9:00 am
other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, a may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the pr	and location set forth below, so that the requesting party operty or any designated object or operation on it. Date and Time:
The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena attached.	protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date: 12/08/2011	
CLERK OF COURT	OR
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	Attorner's signature
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney	representing (name of party) Plaintiffs , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Douglas M. Garrou Hunton & Williams LLP, 951 E. Byrd St., Richmond VA 23219 804-788-8355 (w) 804-343-4524 (f) DGarrou@Hunton.com	

AO 88B (Rev. 06/09) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 1-11-CV-00483-RJJ

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoens fo	x (name of individual and title, if any)		
as received by me on (d			
as received by mic on w			
☐ I served the su	abpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
Unless the subpo- tendered to the w	ena was issued on behalf of the United itness fees for one day's attendance, an	States, or one of its officers or agents, d the mileage allowed by law, in the a	I have also
\$		•	
y fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
			
I declare under pe	nalty of perjury that this information is	s frue.	
1	,,,		
te:			
		Server's signature	
	***	Printed name and title	

		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

EXHIBIT A

The following definitions and instructions shall apply to each of the requests set forth below:

- 1. As used herein, the term "Kimberly-Clark" shall mean Kimberly-Clark Corporation, including any subsidiary or division operating the Moraine Mill in West Carrollton, Ohio, and as well as such entity or entities' successors, directors, officers, representatives, agents, attorneys, employees, and any predecessors in interest of each of them.
- 2. As used herein, the terms "the Moraine Mill" or "Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill" shall mean the Moraine Mill in West Carrollton, Ohio, and its successors, as well as all of the directors, officers, representatives, agents, attorneys, employees, and any predecessors in interest of that entity.
 - 3. As used herein, the term "PCBs" shall mean "polychlorinated biphenyls."
- 4. As used herein, the term "CCP" shall mean carbonless copy paper, including waste from both coating (the process by which PCB-containing CCP emulsion was applied to paper to make bulk CCP) and converting (the process that turned CCP into business forms) and all broke, trim, salvage, finished product rejected because of imperfections, pre- or post-consumer wastepaper, or other categories of carbonless copy wastepaper.
- 5. As used herein, the term "NCR" shall mean NCR Corporation and all of its divisions, subsidiaries, directors, officers, representatives, attorneys, employees, and any predecessors in interest, including but not limited to the current or former NCR converting facilities located in Mt. Joy, Pennsylvania; Viroqua, Wisconsin; Morristown, Tennessee; Arlington, Texas; Washington Court House, Ohio; Los Angeles, California; Jacksonville, Florida; Ithaca, New York; and Mechanicsville, New York; and all Systemedia production facilities or sales centers, including NCR Business Forms Division.
- 6. As used herein, the term "Mead facility" shall mean the Mead Corporation facility in Chillicothe, Ohio, which acted as a contract coater for NCR from 1954 to 1971.

- 7. As used herein, the term "Combined Locks" shall mean Combined Paper Mills, Inc. (also known as "Combined Locks Mill"), which was acquired by NCR in 1969.
- 8. As used herein, the term "ACPC facility" shall mean the Wisconsin-based Appleton Coated Paper Company, which was acquired by NCR in 1970.
- 9. As used herein, the term "Wiggins Teape" shall mean Wiggins Teape or any affiliate, parent, or successor entity, including Wiggins Teape Appleton PLC, Arjomari Priouz, Arjo Wiggins Appleton, Arjowiggins Fine Paper Ltd., or Arjowiggins SAS.
- 10. As used herein, the term "Glatfelter" shall mean P.H. Glatfelter Company, headquartered in York, Pennsylvania, its successors, and all of the divisions, subsidiaries, directors, officers, representatives, agents, attorneys, employees, and any predecessors in interest of each of them.
- 11. As used herein, the phrase "referring or relating to" includes, but is not limited to, the following meanings: bearing upon, concerning, constituting, discussing, describing, evidencing, identifying, in connection with, pertaining to, respecting, regarding, responding to, associated with, or in any way logically or factually relevant to the matter described in the request.
- 12. As used herein, the term "**Document**" shall mean all writings of any kind, including the originals and all non-identical copies, whether different from the originals by reason of any notation made on such copies or otherwise as contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 in native form—whether on paper, in electronic form, on microfilm, or otherwise, and including all drafts and metadata thereof.
- 13. As used herein, "you" or "your" shall refer to the entity identified as the subpoenaed entity.
- 14. In responding to these requests, produce all documents known or available to you regardless of whether the documents are possessed: (i) directly by you or by your agents, employees, representatives, or investigators; (ii) by your present or former attorneys or their

agents, employees, representatives or investigators; or (iii) by a corporation, partnership, or other legal entity currently or formerly controlled by or in any manner affiliated with you.

- 15. If any document cannot be produced in full, produce to the extent possible, specifying the reasons for the inability to produce the remainder and stating whatever information, knowledge, or belief you have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 16. If any document is claimed to be immune from production because of privilege, provide the following information with respect to each such document:
 - a. Type of document (e.g. letter, memorandum, etc.);
 - b. Name of author or preparer;
 - c. Name of recipient;
 - d. Date of the document (its recording or preparation);
 - e. Description of the subject matter and content of the document sufficient to identify it; and
 - f. The legal ground for the assertion of the privilege.
- 17. For any document responsive to this request claimed to be lost, destroyed, or otherwise disposed of since its preparation or receipt, set forth:
 - a. The names of all persons who received the original(s) and all copies;
 - b. The identity of the last person(s) to have custody or control of the document, including the original(s) or any copies;
 - c. The circumstances under which each such document was lost or destroyed; and
 - d. All efforts and attempts which have been made to locate each such document.
- 18. Documents should be organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in this document request.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

Please produce the following categories of documents.

REQUEST NO. 1: All documents related to the acquisition, recycling, deinking, or reuse of CCP at or by Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill during the timeframe from January 1, 1954 to December 31, 1975. This requests includes, for example, documents relating to policies and procedures for recycling and deinking; operations documents relating to the types, categories and amounts of wastepaper to be used in the deinking operations; documents related to inventory of product or materials to be recycled and/or deinked; documents related in any way to the purchase or transport of CCP; and deinking plant schematics.

REQUEST NO. 2: Documents sufficient to indicate the names and positions of all individuals employed at Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill in West Carrollton, Ohio during the timeframe of January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975.

REQUEST NO. 3: All documents related to any communications or meetings between Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill and NCR at any time from January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975.

REQUEST NO. 4: All documents related to any communications or meetings between Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill and ACPC at any time from January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975.

REQUEST NO. 5: All documents related to any communications or meetings between Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill and Combined Locks at any time from January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975.

REQUEST NO. 6: All documents related to any communications or meetings between Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill and Wiggins Teape at any time from January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975.

REQUEST NO. 7: All documents related to any communications or meetings between Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill and personnel associated in any way with the Mead facility at any time from January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975

REQUEST NO. 8: All documents from Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill related to use of secondary fiber and/or wastepaper at the Moraine Mill, and/or to the sources of that secondary fiber and/or wastepaper.

REQUEST NO. 9: All documents related to any internal investigation of, or internal memoranda relating to, the Moraine Mill's (1) suppliers of CCP, (2) recycling and/or deinking operations, and (3) use and treatment of CCP during January 1, 1954 to December 31, 1975, regardless of when such document was created, sent, or received. This request includes investigative file(s), documents reflecting names of persons identified as having knowledge of the above categories of facts, documents reflecting any interviews conducted with them or interview notes, and results or reports of any investigation by any company hired by Kimberly-Clark to gather information regarding the above categories of facts.

REQUEST NO. 10: All correspondence between or among Kimberly-Clark and any insurance carrier related to the Moraine Mill's purchase, use, transfer, recycling, and/or deinking of CCP.

REQUEST NO. 11: Any litigation pleadings, deposition transcripts (including exhibits) or non-privileged business records (whether collected, received from another entity, or produced) associated with any litigation, proceeding, or claim regarding the presence of PCBs in CCP.

REQUEST NO. 12: All documents (a) associated with or related to the sale of Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill to Glatfelter in 1972, and (b) relating in any way to any actual or potential environmental liabilities, indemnities, or other issues concerning PCBs.

REQUEST NO. 13: Documents sufficient to identify all brokers and other sellers of wastepaper (of any kind) supplying Kimberly-Clark's Moraine Mill at any time from January 1, 1950 to December 31, 1975.